



## Process Goals Discussion

**1. Thinking about the goals for the agricultural subcommittee effort, to what extent did this subcommittee achieve these goals?**

PROCESS GOALS	OUTCOME
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide a meaningful opportunity for participants to work together and with DWR to develop content for the Regional Conditions Report</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Conduct the subcommittee in an open, fair and unbiased manner</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Record subcommittee discussions in a fair and unbiased manner</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide a venue for subcommittee participants to work together cooperatively</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide opportunities for partners and other interested parties to review and comment on how DWR used their input</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Engage subcommittee participants, which, as a group, represent the views and interests of agriculture in the development of the Regional Conditions Report</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide access to relevant information needed in order to participate effectively</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Conduct an effective and efficient subcommittee process</li> </ul>	



## Process Goals Discussion

2. Thinking about the agricultural subcommittee as a component of the Regional Conditions Work Group process, what worked?
3. As we move to the next phase, what changes would improve the effectiveness of the subcommittee? *(What features of the process do you think should be used to make the next round of work on **Management Actions** be more effective and efficient?)*
4. What are the other Lessons Learned? *(Items to consider include meeting length and frequency, meeting materials, discussions, review and feedback and meeting support – or whatever “lessons learned” you would like to suggest.)*